

**COMMITTEE ON FREE EXPRESSION
ARIZONA BOARD OF REGENTS
2020 N. CENTRAL AVE. SUITE 230
PHOENIX, AZ 85004**

**Monday, July 22, 2019
11:30 a.m. – 12:30 p.m.**

Committee Members:

John Arnold, Chair
Derrick Anderson, ASU
José Cárdenas, ASU
Stefanie Lindquist, ASU
Joanne Vogel, ASU

Erin Grisham, NAU
Ronni Marks, NAU
Kimberly Ott, NAU
Michelle Parker, NAU
Eric Yordy, NAU

Sydney Hess, UA
Toni Massaro, UA
David Schmidtz, UA
Robert “Bob” Sommerfeld, UA
Kendal Washington White, UA

11:30 a.m. CALL TO ORDER, GREETINGS, AND ANNOUNCEMENTS FROM THE COMMITTEE CHAIR

11:35 a.m. 1. Approval of Minutes
The board office asks the committee to review and approve the minutes of the December 11, 2018 Free Expression Committee meeting.

11:40 a.m. 2. Review of Open Meeting Law
The committee will review Open Meeting Law.

11:45 a.m. 3. Review Statutory Charge to the Free Expression Committee to Submit an Annual Report

12:00 p.m. 4. Review of and Possible Action to Approve and Forward the Report of the Free Expression Committee
The Free Expression Committee will review, discuss and may vote to approve and forward a proposed [statutory report](#) to the full board for its approval.

12:30 p.m. ADJOURN

PLEASE NOTE: This agenda may be amended at any time prior to 24 hours before the committee meeting. Estimated starting times for the agenda items are indicated; however, discussions may commence, or action may be taken, before or after the suggested times. Any item on the agenda may be considered at any time out of order at the discretion of the committee chair. The committee may discuss, consider, or take action regarding any item on the agenda. During the meeting, the committee may convene in executive session pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.03(A)(3) for legal advice regarding any item on the agenda.

This page intentionally left blank

DRAFT
ARIZONA BOARD OF REGENTS
Minutes of the Free Expression Committee
December 11, 2018

A meeting of the Free Expression Committee was held on December 11, 2018 at the board office in Phoenix, Arizona.

Present: John Arnold
 José Cárdenas (via phone)
 Derrick Anderson (via phone)
 Michael Bergstrom (via phone)
 Stefanie Lindquist (via phone)
 Joanne Vogel (via phone)
 Christine Wilkinson (via phone)
 Erin Grisham (via phone)
 Michelle Parker (via phone)
 Dylan Graham (via phone)
 Eric Yordy (via phone)
 Kimberly Ott (via phone)
 Kathy Adams Riester (via phone)
 Robert “Bob” Sommerfeld (via phone)

Absent: Natalynn Masters, David Schmitz and Toni Massaro

Also present Nancy Tribbensee, Jennifer Pollock, Sarah Harper, Suzanne Templin, and Monica Simental from the board office. In the audience Christy Farley (NAU), Kody Kelleher (UA) and Darby Jennings (UA).

Chair Arnold called the meeting to order at 9:04 a.m.

Approval of Minutes (Item 1)

Upon motion by Chair Arnold and seconded by Bob Sommerfeld, the committee approved the minutes of the August 7, 2018 and August 17, 2018 Free Expression Committee Meeting with José Cárdenas, Derrick Anderson, Michael Bergstrom, Stefanie Lindquist, Joanne Vogel, Christine Wilkinson, Erin Grisham, Michelle Parker, Dylan Graham, Eric Yordy, Kimberly Ott, Kathy Adams Riester, Bob Sommerfeld voting in favor. None opposed and none abstained.

Review of Open Meeting Law (Item 2)

Jennifer Pollock, reminded the committee on Open Meeting Law as it pertains to the committee. This committee is a public body that is subject to the Open Meeting Law so that means that members of the public are welcome to attend and listen to the committee’s deliberations and discussions. These are public meetings. Our agendas and notices are

posted and any discussion or action taken is subject to the items that are specifically listed on the agenda. We also provide the recording of minutes.

To refresh everyone's recollection a meeting is a gathering in-person or through technological devices of a quorum of members of a public body where you purpose, discuss or take legal action regarding any item that is sufficiently within the committees charge or that may foreseeable come before the committee. That means that you could meet telephonically, through video conference, through email, so we want to caution not to engage in email communication with a quorum of members of the committee where you are discussing or taking action regarding any items that may foreseeable come before the committee also want to caution that one-way communication to a quorum of the committee where legal action is proposed can be a violation of the Open Meeting Law if the discussion is not properly posted so we want to call your attention to that and caution you about email communication.

One final reminder, any email communication or records that you keep for purposes of the committee are public records subject to disclosure pursuant public records request under our public records law.

If you have any questions regarding the Open Meeting Law or the Public Records Law or any other items, please don't hesitate to contact Nancy Tribbensee or myself and we would happy to address those for you.

Chair Arnold asked the committee if they had any questions about Open Meeting Law and the potential penalties that come with Open Meeting Law violations. Please be very cautious as you deal with emails and reply-all. Once you reply-all you're having a meeting. Reply-all exchanges would be an Open Meeting Law violation. We don't anticipate a lot of materials going out for this committee but as we develop the report, feedback can be provided to staff but not the rest of the committee.

Review Statutory Charge to the Free Expression Committee to Submit an Annual Report (Item 3)

Nancy Tribbensee discussed the statutory charge to the committee. Each university engages in many different activities with regard to free expression on campuses. The charge of this committee is very limited by statute. It is to prepare an annual report to the legislature and several others on what is happening on campuses. The statute provides for specific things that need to be included in the report. We need to include a description of any barriers to or disruptions of free speech on campus, administrative handling and discipline relating to those barriers, and any substantial difficulties, controversies or successes in maintaining institutional neutrality.

Review of 2017-2018 Statutory Report (Item 4)

As you review these materials, we would be very interested in your thoughts about the organization of the report. Our next report will need to include an accounting of how student activity fees were allocated in the prior year.

Nancy Tribbensee asked the members of the committee, the Senior Associates joining the call and Chair Arnold if there had been any feedback at all from the report. Chair Arnold mentioned that we had not received any legislative feedback, generally the Regents were pleased with the report and found the report useful. Chair Arnold asked the representatives of the legislative teams present if they had any feedback. Kody Kelleher from UA informed the committee he had not heard anything either. Nancy Tribbensee commented on the feedback she received from members of the committee and the Regents were impressed with how much was going on and how much we were able to pull together in a month's notice.

Chair Arnold added that in some ways our audience for this report is the legislature. The legislature is the statutory audience but there are third party groups in the state and in the country that are very interested in this topic. There are efforts to pass a similar law in other states and our report being the first of its kind may become a template for other states as they report on this particular activity.

Nancy Tribbensee added that even within our state, the community colleges' reports were due December 1. We provided a copy of our report that they were able to use in preparing their report so even within the state we have the same kind of approach.

Kody Kelleher from UA added that a lot of people at the legislature were focused on the election at that time and now that we have a lot of new lawmakers, I agree with the Chair that these issues are still on the minds of the people of this state and nationally and once they start settling in they might look at the report.

Kathy Adams Riester from UA asked if there are more guidelines on reporting format. She mentioned she read the three different institutions reports and commented on how all three universities reported the same way but in different formats.

Nancy Tribbensee responded that now that we have a sense of what the format is will help decide what information is included and how it could be organized. She asked each university to designate a contact person to coordinate. She thanked the members of the committee for their work on the report. It was due right at the beginning of the semester which was the busiest time of year for everybody on the committee. Our office will work directly with the university contacts person.

Executive Arnold supported the approach and asked Nancy Tribbensee to take the lead to identify the contacts at each university. Executive Arnold asked if there were any other questions or comments.

Christine Wilkinson indicated that José Cárdenas would be the ASU contact.

Michelle Parker from NAU said she would be the NAU representative.

Chair Arnold commented that UA would identify their contact at a later time. He thanked the committee very much for their time.

Chair Arnold commented that another meeting would be around the spring as we start the development of this year's report and start collecting feedback from the committee. However, if there is any topic or issue that comes up and if any committee member feels we need to meet, you can contact our office and express that need. Are any other questions or comments?

A motion was made by Executive Arnold to adjourn and seconded by Kathy Adams Riester from the UA.

**Preparation of 2018-2019 Statutory Report (Item 5)
Item 4 and Item 5 were discussed together.**

A motion was made by John Arnold, seconded by José Cárdenas, to approve forwarding the Statutory Report to the full board for approval with the changes suggested by Regent Taylor Robson. John Arnold, José Cárdenas, Derrick Anderson, Michael Bergstrom, Stefanie Lindquist, Joanne Vogel, Eric Yordy, Kimberly Ott, Bob Sommerfeld voted "Aye", none opposed, none abstained. The motion carried.

ADJOURNMENT

Chair Arnold adjourned the meeting at 9:25 a.m.

Submitted by:

Monica Simental
Committee Secretary

STATE STATUTE

A.R.S. §15-1867. Arizona board of regents; committee on free expression; annual report; committee termination

A. The Arizona Board of Regents shall establish a committee on free expression consisting of at least fifteen members.

B. The committee on free expression shall submit an annual report on or before September 1 to the governor, the speaker of the house of representatives and the president of the senate. The Arizona Board of Regents shall post a copy of the annual report on its website and shall submit a copy of the annual report to the secretary of state. The annual report shall include:

1. A description of any barriers to or disruptions of free expression within the universities in this state.

2. A description of the administrative handling and discipline relating to barriers to or disruptions of free expression within the universities in this state.

3. A description of substantial difficulties, controversies or successes in maintaining a posture of administrative and institutional neutrality.

4. Any assessments, criticisms, commendations or recommendations that the committee decides to include in the annual report.

5. An accounting of how student activity fees were allocated in the prior year. For the purposes of this paragraph, "student activity fees" means any fee that is charged to students by a university in this state and that is used to support and facilitate the expression and activities of students or student organizations.

C. The committee established pursuant to this section ends on July 1, 2026 pursuant to section 41-3103.

Effective date: August 3, 2018

This page intentionally left blank

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Item Name: **Review of and Possible Action to Approve and Forward the Report of the Free Expression Committee**

Action Item

Requested Action: The Free Expression Committee will review, discuss and may vote to approve and forward a proposed [statutory report](#) to the full board for its approval.

Background and Discussion

- The Free Expression Committee will review a draft report to confirm that it complies with the requirements of A.R.S. §15-1867 and that it demonstrates the commitment of the board and the universities to protecting and promoting free expression.
- Following committee review and approval, the committee chair will submit the report to the Arizona Board of Regents for its approval.
- The board is anticipated to consider approval of the report at its August 22, 2019 meeting.
- By September 1, 2019, the committee chair will submit the approved report to the governor, the speaker of the house of representatives, the president of the senate, the secretary of state and the ABOR office will post the final report on the ABOR website.

Contact Information:

Nancy Tribbensee, ABOR

602-229-2510

nancy.tribbensee@azregents.edu

This page intentionally left blank

DRAFT 7-18-2019

ARIZONA BOARD OF REGENTS COMMITTEE ON FREE EXPRESSION ANNUAL REPORT

September 1, 2019

THE ARIZONA BOARD OF REGENTS AND ARIZONA’S PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES PROMOTE AND PROTECT FREE EXPRESSION

The board and the universities strive to ensure the fullest degree of intellectual freedom and free expression at our institutions. The universities do not shield individuals from speech protected by the First Amendment, including ideas and opinions that may be unwelcome, disagreeable or deeply offensive.

Students, staff, and faculty members may discuss any topic, as the First Amendment allows and within the limits of reasonable content- and viewpoint- neutral restrictions on time, place and manner of expression that are consistent with applicable law.

The Arizona Board of Regents has established a Committee on Free Expression, which submits this report as required by A.R.S. §15-1867. The membership of the Free Expression Committee is provided in Exhibit A.

The universities and the board have a long history of protecting free expression. A comprehensive list of current board and university policies that protect and promote free speech is provided in Exhibit B. The ABOR Policy on Free Expression is included as Exhibit C.

THE BOARD AND THE UNIVERSITIES DO NOT TOLERATE BARRIERS TO OR DISRUPTIONS OF PROTECTED SPEECH

All three of Arizona’s public universities have a green light rating from the Foundation for Individual Rights in Education (“FIRE”), recognizing our commitment to free speech. <https://www.thefire.org/resources/spotlight/>

Each university has policies and procedures to encourage and protect lawful speech. All expressive activities are subject to reasonable time, place, and manner restrictions as permitted by law. Our policies reflect that not all speech is protected by law. Expressive activities are subject to applicable law, including laws that address discrimination, harassment, safety, defamation, threats, privacy and confidentiality. The board and universities do not permit actions that unlawfully disrupt the functions of our institutions. As required by statute, board policy provides:

A student who is subject to the jurisdiction of a university and who engages in individual conduct that materially and substantially infringes on the rights of other persons to engage in or listen to expressive activity, as defined in A.R.S. § 15-1861, is subject to disciplinary sanctions under the Student Code of

Conduct and other applicable university and board policies. This does not preclude students from engaging in counter-speech as First Amendment principles may permit.

Although a more complete list of applicable policies is provided in Exhibit B, here are some examples from each university.

ASU

ASU is committed to free speech, subject to reasonable restrictions designed to promote free speech while serving the university's educational function. This is consistent with the board's recognition that university "... grounds and properties are devoted to and maintained for the sovereign function of providing higher education to the people and are not places of unrestricted public access." (ABOR 7-201)

The University of Chicago Statement affirms the role of academic freedom and freedom of expression on college campuses. ASU has adopted the core principles of the Statement as those principles reflect and are consistent with ASU's existing policies.

ASU community members and visitors may reserve space both indoors and outside in accordance with University policy ([SSM 802-01](#) and [SSM 801-02](#)) and may use other areas where reservations are not necessary. The university respects the ASU community's rights to engage in expressive activities within public and designated public fora while: 1) facilitating the free flow of pedestrian traffic and access for all fire, police and emergency services; 2) preserving the health and safety of its community members; and 3) protecting the mission of the university, which includes activities related to studying, teaching, research, service, and university administration.

NAU

The NAU "Statement Regarding Planned Events" recognizes that the right to freedom of expression is protected by the First Amendment and is upheld by the Arizona Board of Regents and Northern Arizona University. Northern Arizona University supports the free expression of individual and group views on a variety of topics. The creation and maintenance of productive environments within which this expression and exchange of ideas may take place is an important mission for the university.

While the issues and topics may vary and at times be controversial, it is the expectation of the university that members of the university community (students, faculty, staff, and guests) will respect the right of others to freely express their opinions, beliefs and views. The university recognizes the importance of and the right to freedom of speech, including the right to assemble, to march, and other rights related to the expression of thoughts and ideas. Related to its role of creating and maintaining a conducive atmosphere for the free expression of views, the university recognizes the importance of organizing events so that they may be carried out in a positive and safe way.

These guidelines exist to ensure that the free exchange of ideas within public and designated public fora may occur, while simultaneously preserving public health, safety, welfare, the normal business uses of the campus, and the rights of all members of the NAU community to legitimately use and enjoy the campus. The Statement also provides detailed procedures related to the organization of individual and group events.

NAU's SpEAK (Speech, Expression, Action and Knowledge) Team responds to planned and spontaneous free speech events and visiting speakers to ensure that the First Amendment rights of students and guests are protected and address any safety concerns. Staff from the Office of the Dean of

Students serve on the SpEAK Team in an on-call rotation along with director-level staff and other volunteers from across the Student Affairs division.

UA

University policy reflects individual rights to free speech and expressive activity within public and designated public forums, while preserving public health, safety, and welfare; the normal business uses of the campus; and the rights of others to legitimately use and enjoy the campus. The UA's "Policy and Regulations Governing the Use of the Campus," provides: "The campus grounds and properties of the University of Arizona (the "University") are devoted to and maintained for the sovereign function of providing higher education to the people and are not places of unrestricted public access.

The University may regulate the time, place, and manner of free speech and expressive activities in order to prevent unreasonable interference with or disruption of its educational, research, outreach, and business functions, and normal or scheduled uses of University property by the Campus Community, as well as to protect public health, safety, and welfare. Commercial Activity... is prohibited on campus except as authorized by the Business Practices Guidelines Policy, guidelines on 'Sponsored Commercial Activity on University Property.'"

THE BOARD AND THE UNIVERSITIES PROMOTE PROTECTED SPEECH AND PROMPTLY ADDRESS ALL ALLEGATIONS OF BARRIERS TO OR DISRUPTIONS OF PROTECTED SPEECH

Each university has a process for responding to allegations of barriers to or disruptions of protected speech. As appropriate, the response may address the conduct immediately and may include additional review or sanctions under board or university policy. Campus police may be involved if allegations include criminal conduct or implicate safety concerns.

Neither ASU nor NAU reported any allegations of barriers to or disruptions of protected speech. UA reported one allegation, as discussed below. During this reporting period ABOR successfully defended a lawsuit alleging a violation of the First Amendment.

On November 29, 2018, the Federal District Court for the District of Arizona dismissed a lawsuit filed by American Muslims for Palestine (AMP) alleging that ABOR and university policies regarding speakers violated the First Amendment <https://public.azregents.edu/News%20Clips%20Docs/AMP%20Order.pdf>. The case, which generated a lot of media attention when first filed, was really a challenge to the state statute that requires putting in an anti-Boycott Israel provision in state contracts, i.e., requiring parties that contract with public entities to certify that they are not engaged in and won't during the pendency of the contract engage in a boycott of Israel.

In December 2017, ASU removed that provision from its speaker engagement contracts because it concluded that the statute did not apply to speaker contracts.

In February 2018, the Chairman of AMP was invited to speak at ASU. Relying on an outdated version of the contract, AMP filed suit against the Arizona Attorney General and ASU/ABOR challenging the application of the statute. ASU promptly told the plaintiffs that they had the wrong contract and also provided assurances that they could speak without having to make the certification. The AMP representatives did in fact speak at ASU in April.

But plaintiffs persisted in their lawsuit alleging that ABOR, ASU, NAU and UA might enforce such a provision in the future. In the meantime, enforcement of the statute plaintiffs were complaining about was enjoined in a separate

lawsuit. That was one of the reasons for the court's order. But the court also stated that there was no factual basis for plaintiffs' claims:

Here, Plaintiffs haven't suffered an injury. The gist of what occurred is that Plaintiffs were invited to speak at ASU. Although they initially believed they'd be required to sign a no-boycott certification in order to accept the invitation, they quickly learned this understanding was incorrect. As a result, they were allowed to speak at the ASU event on April 3, 2018, without signing the certification. Where's the injury? There is none. (Order at pp 8-9.) ...Here, although the complaint itself alleges Plaintiffs were prevented from speaking due to the presence of a no-boycott clause in ASU's speaker contracts, Plaintiffs subsequently filed a stipulation confirming they were being allowed to speak without completing the certification. (Doc. 20-1.) It would be nonsensical to confer standing on Plaintiffs based on allegations in a complaint that Plaintiffs themselves have now admitted are factually inaccurate. (Order at p. 9 n. 4.)

The UA allegation related to an incident that occurred on May 19, 2019. The University of Arizona Criminal Justice Club held a meeting at which two agents of the U.S. Border Patrol were invited to present to the club. A UA student outside of the meeting began yelling at the agents and was then joined by two other UA students who also began to yell at the agents. Eventually, the Border Patrol agents agreed to end their presentation early and left the campus. After an investigation, UA police determined that there were sufficient grounds to charge the students with a violation of the state statute that prohibits the disruption of an educational institution. The charges were referred to the Pima County Attorney, which dismissed the charges without prejudice. The matter is currently under confidential review by the UA Dean of Students Office pursuant to board and university policies, including the Student Code of Conduct, e.g. ABOR 5-308 (F)(11).

After the UA incident, students, faculty, and staff visibly and publicly expressed concerns. Recognizing the significance of the concerns, UA began an ongoing, extensive university and community-wide engagement to address the speech and expression issues implicated by the incident. This includes a review of the university's speech and expression policies and protocols as well as educational resources relating to free speech to determine if enhancements can be made. Additionally, the university has begun a series of campus-wide conversations on speech and expressive activity at the UA to further that community engagement.

THE BOARD AND THE UNIVERSITIES PROMOTE DIVERSITY OF THOUGHT AND ADMINISTRATIVE AND INSTITUTIONAL NEUTRALITY

As described in this report, the board and the universities remain committed to promoting and protecting diversity of thought and free expression. At the January 24, 2019 meeting of the ABOR Academic Affairs and Educational Attainment Committee, each university provost provided a comprehensive presentation on freedom of speech and civil discourse. The discussion included descriptions of university curricula, culture, environment and campus activities demonstrating the universities' ongoing commitment to free expression, civil discourse and diversity of thought on campus. Those presentations are available at:

<https://public.azregents.edu/Academic%20Affairs%20and%20Educational%20Attainment/2019-1-24-AAEA-Committee-Book-FINAL.pdf>

From time to time, however, the board and the universities hear concerns from members of campus and the broader community who may not appreciate the broad constitutional protections afforded to speech – even to speech that many in the community may find deeply offensive. The universities are committed to protecting expression permitted under applicable law. They are also committed as part of their educational missions to providing information about protected speech to those who may seek to have the board or universities interfere with or suppress free expression. When protected but offensive speech occurs on campus, universities may use that as an opportunity to educate the broader community as to the nature of constitutional protections as well as

to identify opportunities for the safe expression of divergent viewpoints – countering the offensive speech with more speech.

At its August 23, 2018 meeting, the board adopted a free expression policy in compliance with A.R.S. § 15-1866. A copy of the policy is attached as Exhibit C. State statute and board policy also address political speech. Board and university policies recognize the limitations imposed by A.R.S. § 15-1633, which limits the use of university resources or employees to influence elections.

ABOR

During the past year, ABOR and the universities have been preparing for the inaugural [Regents' Cup](#) debate competition, which will be held at the University of Arizona on Nov. 16, 2019. The Regents' Cup is a tri-university team debate competition designed to highlight and encourage civil discourse among students.

Students from Arizona State University, Northern Arizona University and the University of Arizona will compete in rigorous debate anchored by mutual respect and civil discourse. Winning student teams will receive scholarships. The Regents' Cup is an opportunity for Arizona's public universities to showcase their commitment to diversity of thought and civil discourse.

ASU

During Academic Year 2019, the School of Civic and Economic Thought and Leadership, Walter Cronkite School of Journalism and Mass Communication and Sandra Day O'Connor College of Law hosted a lecture series and conference, "Polarization and Civil Disagreement: Confronting America's Civic Crisis." <https://azpbs.org/2018/10/polarization-a-civic-crisis/>

The 11-session series kicked off on October 26th and concluded on April 26th. Topics included "Identity and Citizenship," "Bringing America Together," "How to Have a Civil Conversation Across the Political Divide," "Healing a Fractured Country/Rethinking Polarization: How a Tough Problem Got Tougher," and "Democratic Knowledge: A Roadmap for Rebuilding Civic Education."

NAU

NAU's Philosophy Department faculty continue to participate in Philosophy in the Public Interest (PPI), a program for creating opportunities for deliberation and reflection to benefit the people and communities of Northern Arizona. The central mission of PPI is to provide forums for the public to do philosophy with the guidance of trained philosophers. PPI creates opportunities for members of the community beyond the university to engage in careful, reasoned discussion with one another about topics of deep concern. There are too few spaces for thinking diligently and engaging in deep conversation with others. By providing such spaces, PPI fills a unique and necessary role in the Flagstaff community. Our discussions are framed by philosopher-facilitators so that the discussions yield thoughtful exchanges from multiple perspectives.

NAU's Economic Policy Institute hosts an annual conference on economic climate, bringing information to the northern region with a variety of perspectives from panelists.

NAU also identified the following speech-related events over the past year:

- Guest preacher at beginning of fall semester
- Student Success Rally (students and community members—ASNAU and Adam Shimoni were involved)

- Martin Luther King, Jr. Day March (annual event)
- Cesar Chavez March
- Brandon Tatum, hosted by Turning Point
- Amnesty International rally
- Gathering in 1st Amendment Plaza regarding Mental Health Services at NAU

UA

In late 2018, the UA's Government and Community Relations team met with the Academic Personnel Policy Committee, a subcommittee of the UA Faculty Senate, to discuss institutional neutrality and diversity of thought on campus, including consideration of the Chicago Statement. The core principles of the Chicago Statement affirm the role of academic freedom and freedom of expression on college campuses. While the UA has long maintained a strong set of institutions regarding these topics, the Committee highlighted the importance of emphasizing the values laid out in the Chicago Statement and recommended the full UA Faculty Senate adopt the principles at its next meeting. The UA Faculty Senate unanimously adopted the Chicago Statement at its February 2019 meeting, further demonstrating the UA's commitment to protecting and promoting freedom of expression.

Additionally, the UA reached out to the Foundation for Individual Rights in Education (FIRE), around its free expression rating. The UA engaged with FIRE around what could be done to improve its rating and enacted changes after those discussions. Subsequently, FIRE awarded the UA a green light rating, its highest recognition for protection of free expression. UA President Robert C. Robbins highlighted these accomplishments through a university-wide [communication](#) declaring that free speech is essential in sustaining a democratic society, and it is equally important in providing an exceptional university education.

The UA's mission is one of service, and fundamental to its success is ensuring that all of our students and faculty practice and promote principles of freedom of expression and inquiry.

ALLOCATION OF STUDENT ACTIVITY FEES

Exhibit D provides the allocation of student activity fees, if any, that are used to support and facilitate the expression and activities of students or student organizations as required by A.R.S. §15-1867 (B)(5).

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS REPORT

As required by A.R.S. §15-1867, this report will be posted on the Arizona Board of Regents website and will be submitted to:

- The Governor
- The Speaker of the Arizona House of Representatives
- The President of the Arizona Senate
- The Arizona Secretary of State

EXHIBIT A

MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE ON FREE EXPRESSION AS OF SEPTEMBER 1, 2019

Committee Chair: John Arnold, Executive Director, Arizona Board of Regents

ASU REPRESENTATIVES:

- Stefanie Lindquist, Deputy Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs
- Derrick Anderson, School of Public Policy and Design and Advisor to the President
- Joanne Vogel, Deputy Vice President and Dean of Students at the Tempe campus
- José Cárdenas, Senior Vice President and General Counsel

NAU REPRESENTATIVES:

- Kimberley Ott, Assistant to the President for Executive Communications & Media Relations
- Eric Yordy, Associate Professor, Business Law, The W.A. Franke College of Business
- Erin Grisham, Associate Vice President for Student Affairs
- Ronni Marks, ASNAU Student Body President
- Michelle Parker, General Counsel

UA REPRESENTATIVES:

- Sydney Hess, ASUA Student Body President
- Toni Massaro, College of Law
- Kendal Washington White, Dean of Students
- David Schmitz, Social & Behavioral Sciences, Political Economy and Moral Science
- Bob Sommerfeld, UA Police Department

EXHIBIT B

- ABOR Policies
 - 1-124 (Free Expression) <https://public.azregents.edu/Policy%20Manual/1-124-Free%20Expression.pdf>
 - 1-119 (B)(4) (Nondiscrimination and Anti-harassment) <https://public.azregents.edu/Policy%20Manual/1-119-Nondiscrimination%20and%20Anti-Harassment.pdf>
 - 5-301(B)(1) (Code of Conduct) <https://public.azregents.edu/Policy%20Manual/5-301-Code%20of%20Conduct%20-%20Jurisdiction,%20Philosophy,%20Purpose%20and%20Limitations.pdf>
 - 5-303(11) (Prohibited Conduct) <https://public.azregents.edu/Policy%20Manual/5-303-Prohibited%20Conduct.pdf>
 - 5-308 (A)(1) and (B)(1) (Student Code of Conduct) <https://public.azregents.edu/Policy%20Manual/5-308-Student%20Code%20of%20Conduct.pdf>
 - 6-202 (Academic Freedom) <https://public.azregents.edu/Policy%20Manual/6-202-Academic%20Freedom.pdf>
 - 6-905 (Political Activity) <https://public.azregents.edu/Policy%20Manual/6-905-Political%20Activity.pdf>

- ASU Policies and Statements
 - ACD 201 (Academic Freedom)
 - ACD 201-01 (Faculty Responsibilities)
 - ACD 204-01 (Code of Ethics)
 - ACD 204-02 (Standards of Professional Conduct for Faculty Members and Academic Professionals)
 - ACD 205-01 (Political Activity)
 - Policy Statement Supporting Diversity and Free Speech <https://inclusion.asu.edu/ci/policies-procedures>

- NAU Policies and Statements
 - Safe Working and Learning Environment Policy
 - NAU HR Policy 5.10 regarding Political Activity: <http://hr.nau.edu/apps/policy-manual/10256>
 - NAU HR Policy 5.14 Use of university property <http://hr.nau.edu/apps/policy-manual/10258>
 - NAU Faculty Handbook 1.7.1 (Role of the Faculty)
 - NAU Faculty Handbook 4.1 (Code of Ethics and Conduct)
 - NAU Conditions of Faculty Service
 - NAU Student Handbook <https://nau.edu/student-life/student-handbook/>
 - NAU Statement Regarding Planned Events <https://nau.edu/student-life/statement-regarding-planned-events/>
 - NAU Club & Organization Event Approval Process <https://nau.edu/student-life/approval-process/>
 - Information distribution policy : <https://nau.edu/student-life/university-policies-rules-regulations/>

- UA Policies and Statements
 - [Policy and Regulations Governing the Use of Campus, SA-200: http://policy.arizona.edu/ethics-and-conduct/policy-and-regulations-governing-use-campus](http://policy.arizona.edu/ethics-and-conduct/policy-and-regulations-governing-use-campus)
 - [Political Activity: UHAP 2.10: http://policy.arizona.edu/employmenthuman-resources/political-activity-uhap](http://policy.arizona.edu/employmenthuman-resources/political-activity-uhap)
 - [Non-discrimination and anti-harassment policy, HR-200E: http://policy.arizona.edu/human-resources/nondiscrimination-and-anti-harassment-policy](http://policy.arizona.edu/human-resources/nondiscrimination-and-anti-harassment-policy)
 - [Religious Accommodation Policy, HR-202: http://policy.arizona.edu/human-resources/religious-accommodation-policy](http://policy.arizona.edu/human-resources/religious-accommodation-policy)
 - Academic Freedom
 - Statement on academic freedom from the Committee on Academic Freedom and Tenure, approved by Faculty Senate 9/14/09: https://facultygovernance.arizona.edu/sites/default/files/default-academic_freedom_with_senate_edit_9-14-09_final.pdf
 - From UHAP Definitions: "Professional and intellectual freedom means the right and responsibility to exercise judgment within the standards of the employee's profession. Professional and intellectual freedom is defined as "academic freedom" for employees involved in teaching or research." (<http://policy.arizona.edu/uhap-definitions>)
 - Faculty Responsibilities: UHAP 3.1, Duties and Responsibilities of Faculty: <http://policy.arizona.edu/employmenthuman-resources/duties-and-appointments-faculty#revision>
 - Standards of Professional Conduct for Faculty Members and Academic Professionals
 - Statement on Professional Conduct, UHAP 7.01: <http://policy.arizona.edu/employmenthuman-resources/statement-professional-conduct>
 - Proposed revision: <http://policy.arizona.edu/faculty-affairs-and-academics/proposed-revision-uhap-statement-professional-conduct>

EXHIBIT C

ABOR FREE EXPRESSION POLICY

1-124 Free Expression

- A.** The primary function of Arizona’s public universities is to promote the discovery, improvement, transmission and dissemination of knowledge through research, teaching, discussion and debate. The universities must strive to ensure the fullest degree of intellectual freedom and free expression. It is not the proper role of a university to shield individuals from speech protected by the First Amendment, including ideas and opinions that may be unwelcome, disagreeable or deeply offensive.
- B.** Students, staff and faculty members may discuss any topic, as the First Amendment allows and within the limits of reasonable content- and viewpoint- neutral restrictions on time, place and manner of expression that are consistent with applicable law and that are necessary to achieve a compelling institutional interest if these restrictions are clear, are published and provide ample alternative means of expression. The board’s policy on political activity by employees or others acting on behalf of a university is set forth in Board Policy 6-905.
- C.** Students, staff and faculty members may assemble and engage in spontaneous expressive activities if those activities are not unlawful and do not materially and substantially disrupt the functioning of the university.
- D.** A student who is subject to the jurisdiction of a university and who engages in individual conduct that materially and substantially infringes on the rights of other persons to engage in or listen to expressive activity, as defined in A.R.S. § 15-1861, is subject to disciplinary sanctions under the Student Code of Conduct and other applicable university and board policies. This does not preclude students from engaging in counter-speech as First Amendment principles may permit.
- E.** Universities may restrict expressive activity that is not protected by the First Amendment.
- F.** The board will establish a committee on free expression composed of representatives from the universities and the board office, which will submit an annual report as required by A.R.S. §15-1867.

EXHIBIT D

ALLOCATION OF STUDENT ACTIVITY FEES BY UNIVERSITY

ASU:

NAU:

Registered student organizations and individual students can apply to ASNAU for financial support to host events, travel to conferences or competitions, etc. During the 2018-2019 academic year, ASNAU paid out \$270,151.69 to over 180 registered organizations and individual students. Of the reimbursement requests that were approved, 101 were for travel to conferences or trainings, 47 were for travel to tournaments or competitions, 26 were for hosting on-campus events, and 17 were for other expenditures such as community service projects, job shadowing, and the creation of a student film.

The Student Activities Council (STAC) receives a portion of the student activity fee. Registered student organizations and campus departments can apply to STAC for financial support for events that are open to all students and take place on the Flagstaff Mountain campus. During the 2017-2018 academic year, STAC paid out \$118,177.28 for 22 events hosted or co-hosted by registered student organizations.

UA:

The Associated Students of the University of Arizona (ASUA) operates the Wildcat Events Board (WEB), a student-run group that programs campus-wide social and educational events that are open and accessible to all UA students. WEB has a budget of roughly \$180,000 generated from a \$3 fee per-student. This fee is refundable for any student who requests one. This year the budget supported large events such as concerts, community gatherings, partnering with campus departments for speakers, rental and facility fees, and supplies. At this time, WEB has not received any requests for funding specifically for events related to freedom of expression.